

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,603	09/28/2004	Jason A. Polzin	GEMS8081.218	5602
27061	7590 04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, SC (GEMS)			ARANA, LOUIS M	
MEQUON, W	H CEDARBURG ROAD /I 53097	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/711,603	POLZIN, JASON A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louis M. Arana	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-38 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·				

**Art Unit: 2859** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of "Species A" in the reply filed on 3/8/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the species have not been identified by the examiner". This is not found persuasive because applicant's argument that the species have not been identified because they somehow, correspond to the claims, is immaterial to the question of the propriety of the restriction requirement.

Moreover, MPEP 809.02(a) directs the examiner to identify the species, preferably with figure drawings, or examples. The figure drawings in the instant case, are useless for this purpose, so the specification has been used. Applicant' should regard as a fortunate coincidence that the correspondence between claims and the paragraphs of the specification is so close. This means that the species can be clearly identified and the claims readable thereon elected without confusion or discrepancy. The identified species are patentably distinct on their face as they do not have overlapping scope. The examiner also disagrees with applicant's representative that claims 1-31 are readable on the elected species "A". Only claims 1-13 are readable thereon.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 14-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 3/8/06.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dumoulin et al. P.N. 5,375,598 (Dumoulin).

Dumoulin discloses, see col.7 lines 3-41 a MRI method for eliminating non-zero background phase produced by eddy currents. The method uses subtraction of two PD images acquired separately to eliminate non-zero background phase produced by eddy currents. The first and second acquisitions are generated from identical pulse sequences except for the polarity of the velocity-encoding gradients. The subtraction of the images so produced, cancels all non-velocity contributions from the image.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schneider et al. and Sano et al. and Zur disclose MRI of flow. Note the abstract of each disclosure in general, and Fig. 12 and 15 in Sano et al. in particular.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Louis M. Arana
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2859

Page 4

lma 3/23/06